





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,864	01/08/2002	Hiroaki Sato	000449.00010 6381	
22907	7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF			NGUYEN, DUC M	
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2685	6
•			DATE MAILED: 07/19/200	4 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annullandian No.	Augliografia				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,864	SATO, HIROAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc M. Nguyen	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atom Approauon (i 10-102)				

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 39 has been renumbered to 38.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-14, 16-17, 21-23, 25-26, 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vu (US 6,185,436).

Regarding claim 1, Vu discloses a mobile communication apparatus for communicating with a network selectively utilizing a plurality of IC units (SIM cards) which would include all the claimed limitations (see Abstract), comprising:

Art Unit: 2685

÷

- a detector configured to detect each of IC units connect to the handset (see col. 3, line 15 col. 4, line 5, wherein it is clear that the electrical contact from the SIM card insertion would trigger a detection);
- an activator (executing program) configured to activate at least one IC as claimed (see col. 3, line 63 col. 4, line 6);
- a controller (processor) configured to inform the network of data in the activated IC unit (see col. 3, lines 56-60).

Regarding claim 2, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses two or more SIM cards can be activated concurrently in the handset (see col. 2, lines 18-20 and col. 5, lines 15-27).

Regarding claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses the initiate establishment as claimed (see col. 3, lines 56-63).

Regarding claim **4**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses the selector as claimed (see col. 3, lines 56-63).

Regarding claim 6, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses the selector select an activated SIM based on time information as claimed (see col. 7, lines 8 – 55).

Regarding claim 7, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses the selector select an activated SIM based on broadcast information as claimed (see col. 5, lines 5-14).

Art Unit: 2685

1

Regarding claims 11-14, 16-17, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 1-4, 6-7 above, respectively.

Regarding claims **21-23**, **25-26**, **30-35**, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims **1-4**, 6-7 above.

3. Claims **1-6, 11-16, 21-25, 30-34** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Tayloe** (US **5,987,325**).

Regarding claims **1-6**, **Tayloe** discloses a mobile communication apparatus for communicating with a network selectively utilizing a plurality of IC units (SIM cards) which would include all the claimed limitations (see Abstract), comprising :

- a detector configured to detect each of IC units connect to the handset (see Fig. 3, block 302 and col. 5, lines 41-51);
- an activator configured to activate more than one IC as claimed (see Fig. 3, block 305 and col. 5, lines 41-51);
- a controller (an inherent feature of mobile) configured to inform the network of data in the activated IC unit (see Fig. 3, block 306 and col. 5, lines 41-51);
- a selector based on time as claimed (see col. 5, lines 41-51);
- deactivate the removed or non-select SIM as claimed (see Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 41-51);

Regarding claims 11-16, 21-25, 30-34, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 1-6 above.

Art Unit: 2685

1

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **8**, **18**, **27**, **36** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Vu** in view of **Blakeley** (US **6**,085,085).

Regarding claim **8**, **Vu** discloses all the claimed limitations, see claim 1 above, except for the location indicator. However, it is noted that since the broadcast data in **Vu** comprises PLMN ID or cell ID (see col. 5, lines 5-10 and col. 8, lines 15-35), it is clear that such IDs could be used to indicate a location of the mobile as disclosed by **Blakeley** (see col. 3, lines 8-15). Therefore, the claimed limitation is made obvious by Vu and Blakeley for using a cell broadcast ID to approximate the location of a mobile without the need of a GPS or a location calculator.

Regarding claims **18, 27, 36**, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 8 above.

5. Claims **9-10**, **19-20**, **28-29**, **37-38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Vu** in view of **Hirsch** (US **6,085,08**).

Regarding claims **9-10**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7 above. In addition, **Vu** discloses the SIM data comprising a table of PLMN ID or cell ID (see col. 5, lines 5-10 and col. 8, lines 15-35), which would obviously comprise a

Art Unit: 2685

1

home location code so that the preferred home PLMN can be selected for cost saving (i.e, avoid roaming charge). However, **Vu** is silent on the country code. However, it is noted that such country code is a component of the GSM specification as disclosed by **Hirsch** (see col. 2, line 60 – 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the above teachings of Vu and Hirsch for providing a country code as claimed, so that a mobile station located in an overlap coverage area of two cells having different country codes would be able to select the cell providing the least cost, for cost saving such as avoid roaming charge.

Regarding claims **19-20**, **28-29**, **37-38**, they are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 9-10 above.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- **Stadelmann et al** (US Patent Number 6,738,622), Roaming method and devices appropriate therefor.
- Mueller (US 6,185,413), Mobile station having a cost-efficient call management method and system.
- Lee et al (US 5.974,328), Rapid system access and registration in mobile phone system.
- Agre et al (US 6,208,857), Method and apparatus for performing position and preference-based service selection in a mobile telephone system.

Art Unit: 2685

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label PROPOSED or DRAFT)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30 AM – 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Duc Nguyen

July 8, 2004